

United States District Court
Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING
SUPERVISED RELEASE VIOLATION HEARING**

v.

JASON LATZMAN /
Defendant

Case Number: 06-20328

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I – Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) I find that:
- ☐ there is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
 - ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 841 or 846;
 - ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

☐ (2) I further find that the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings

☐ I find that the government has established by a preponderance of the evidence that there is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.

☐ I find that the government has established by clear and convincing evidence that there is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II – Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

✓ I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing established the following factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g):

- ✓ (a) nature of the offense - Supervised Release Violation.
- ✓ (b) weight of the evidence - Strong - Two positive drug screens.
- ✓ (c) history and characteristics of the defendant - Prior Supervised Release Violation in July, 2007.
 - ✓ 1) physical and mental condition - Non-ambulatory per bilateral leg injuries.
 - ✓ 2) employment, financial, family ties - No job per injury - has family support.
 - ☐ 3) criminal history and record of appearance -
- ☐ (d) probation, parole or bond at time of the alleged offense -
- ✓ (e) danger to another person or community - Evidence of continuing cocaine abuse.

Defendant tested positive for cocaine in August and September of 2007. Medications listed by him did not account for these results. Probation Officer not admitted to defendant's apartment on home visit attempt, and defendant's explanation is not convincing. District Judge (per Probation Officer) directs detention based upon 9/6/07 positive drug screen. Defendant's continued drug abuse presents a danger to the community as well as himself.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: September 7, 2007

s/Donald A. Scheer
Signature of Judge
Donald A. Scheer, United States Magistrate Judge
Name and Title of Judge